## **ROTHERHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL – REPORT TO MEMBERS**

1	Meeting:	Cabinet Member for Children & Education Services				
2	Date:	8 <sup>th</sup> December 2014				
3	Title:	Children and Young People's Service Revenue Budget Monitoring Report to 31 <sup>st</sup> October 2014				
4	Directorate :	Children and Young People's Service				

## 5 Summary

This Budget Monitoring Report provides a financial forecast for the Children and Young People's Services Directorate to the end of March 2015 based on actual income and expenditure to the end of October 2014

The Directorate is currently projecting an overspend outturn position of £4.029m (9.3%), an increase of £524K since September's report, principally as a result of continued pressures in the Safeguarding, Children and Families Service.

#### 6 Recommendations

That the Cabinet Member receives and notes the latest financial projection against budget for the year based on actual income and expenditure to the end of October 2014.

# 7 Proposals and Details

- 7.1.1 Considerable, concerted proactive management actions to contain and where possible reduce the projected outturn position are continuing. So far, within this financial year, these actions will have helped the service avoid £604K of costs that would otherwise have been incurred. Further detail on the actions is presented at 7.1.5.
- 7.1.2 The table below summarises the forecast outturn against approved budgets for each service division:

Division of Service	Net Budget	Forecast Outturn	Variation	Variation	
	£000	£000	£000	%	
Academy Conversions (Deficit)	0	283	+283	+100.0	
Directorate Wide Costs	1,724	1,692	-32	-1.9	
Schools and Lifelong Learning Service Wide	110	112	+2	+1.8	
School Effectiveness	875	819	-56	-6.4	
Special Education Provision	2,006	2,048	+42	+2.1	
Early Years	3,984	3,914	-70	-1.8	
Integrated Youth Support	3,433	3,353	-80	-2.3	
Specific Grant Support	6	6	0	0	
Traded Services	-368	-368	0	0	
Safeguarding, Children & Families Service Wide	3,127	3,071	-56	-1.8	
Child Protection Teams	1,109	1,189	80	+7.2	
Children in Need Social Work Teams	5,586	6,122	536	+9.6	
Looked After Children	18,765	22,163	3,398	+18.1	
Disability Services	2,930	2,912	-18	-0.6	
Total Children and Young People's Services	43,287	47,316	4,029	+9.3	

7.1.3 Presented below is an analysis of the main variances and the underlying reasons beneath them:

# Academy Conversions – Deficits (+£283K)

The forecast over spend is due to provisions for the forecast deficit positions on the following schools when they convert to academies:

- Rawmarsh School: a Sports College £236K (This is in addition to the £300K provided for in the 2013/14 accounts)
- Swinton Brookfield £47K (This is in addition to the £39K provided for in the 2013/14 accounts)

### **Directorate Wide (-£32K)**

This underspend projection consists of an over spend on the Central Budget due to the legal costs of academy conversions (+£35k) & supplies (+£3K) offset by a forecast underspend on pensions (-£70k) due to reductions in payments.

## Schools and Lifelong Learning Service Wide (+£2k)

The over spend is due to costs for the Yorkshire and Humber Education Challenge (+£3k) offset by under spends on car allowances and room hire (-£1k).

### School Effectiveness (-£56k)

This forecast underspend is mainly due to 4 advisors leaving the School Effectiveness Service and there being a slight delay in recruiting replacements (-£57K). The remainder of the projection (£1k) is due to Rockingham PDC forecasting income generation below their £35K income target.

### **Special Education Provision (+£42k)**

The forecast under recovery of income in the Education Welfare Team due to a change in legislation which no longer allows them to charge Academies (+£24K) & the projected overspend on SEN Complex Needs placements (+£93K) is offset by underspends due to additional income generation in the SEN Assessment/Admissions Team (-£17K) & on staffing due to vacancies in the Education Psychology Team (-£18K), the Children in Public Care Team (-£19K), Parent Partnership (-£11K) and Learning Support & Autism Team (-£10K).

#### Early Years Services (-£70K)

The projected underspend in this area is due to delays in recruitment & savings due to staff not being in the pension scheme within the Early Years Team (-£20K) & Children Centres (-£50K)

## Integrated Youth Support (-£80k)

The forecast underspend is as a result of a reduction in the forecast non-pay costs within the service (-£169K) offset by a forecast over spend in Outdoor education due to under recovery of income (+£89k).

#### Safeguarding, Children and Families Service Wide (-£56k)

The forecast under spend is mainly on legal fees (-£88k) which is due the courts ceasing and refunding final hearing fees. This is offset by costs for the previous interim Director of Safeguarding post (+£29K) and Business Support teams mainly due to 2 new posts and additional agency costs (+£3k).

## Child Protection Teams (+£80K)

This forecast overspend is due to the withdrawal of the DSG funding by the Schools Forum (+£49K), reduced staff costs (-£7K) agency costs (£16K) and 2 new Independent Reviewing Officer Posts (£23K) in the Safeguarding Unit offset by a small projected underspend on the Children's Rights Team (-£1K).

# Children in Need Social Work Teams (+£536K)

This forecast overspend is due to Agency staff costs & additional staff appointments over establishment (+£532K), offset by reduced staff costs (-£12K) & an under spend on supplies (-£9K) within the Children in Need teams. This is to better maintain business continuity rather than having to recruit expensive agency staff to cover periods between staff leaving and new staff commencing employment in post. This area also includes a projected overspend on the Out of Hours service (+£36K). This is offset by a projected underspend on the Family Assessment Team (-£11K) due to staff not being in the pension scheme & delays to recruitment.

### Looked After Children (+£3,398K)

The service is forecasting an over spend mainly due to out of authority residential placements (+£2,913K) and independent fostering placements (+£365K).

The Adoption Reform Grant that was first received in 2013/14 was reduced by £746K in 2014/15. This grant significantly mitigated LAC budget pressures in 2013/14 on a temporary basis.

The service overspent in 2013/14 (£1.617m) and due to the increased number and forecast length and complexity of placements, the forecast overspend is currently expected to increase to the extent above (£2.913m and £0.365m respectively).

To help mitigate these pressures the service, alongside the Commissioning team are conducting a review of placements with a deadline for completion by the end of November. This is to consider how many children are likely to remain in the placement until adulthood, how many children may be returned to Rotherham and how many could be moved or converted in to another form of permanence arrangement e.g. Special Guardianship.

Further details of placements are below:

	2011/12		2012/13		2013/14		2014/15 as at 31st October		Actual
Placement Type	Average No. of placements	Average Cost of Placement	Average No. of placements	Average Cost of Placement	Average No. of placements	Average Cost of Placement	Average No. of placements	Average Cost of Placement	Number of placement s as at 31st October
		£ per week		£ per week		£ per week		£ per week	
Out of Authority Residential	18	3,022	21.1	3,206	25	3,245	32.4	3,318	36
R1 Accommodation only	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	11.8	2,812	14
R2 Accommodation & therapy	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	9.3	2,845	9
R3 Accommodation, therapy & education	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	9.0	3,801	12
R4 Parent & Baby	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	-	0	0
Secure	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	1.9	3,753	1
Remand	U/A	U/A	U/A	U/A	1.6	3,154	0.7	815	0
Independent Fostering Agencies	125	887	121	874	107	879	108.8	864	109
Standard	U/A	U/A	74.8	745	66.1	759	60.8	756	61
Complex	U/A	U/A	27.2	938	24	1,105	35.8	909	35
Specialist	U/A	U/A	19	1,287	16.9	998	12.3	1,265	13
In-house Fostering	158.8	230	162	246	165.2	261	174.2	267	170
Note:	U/A - This deta	ailed breakdo	wn was unava	ilable in past y	ears				

## Out of Authority Residential

- The number of children in residential out of authority placements as at end of October 2014 is 36 (an increase of 5 since 31 March 2014 & an increase of 11 since 31 March 2013).
- The average number of placements has increased from 25 in 2013/14 to 32.4 in 2014/15, so far, which is an increase of 7.4 (29.6%). At an average cost of £3,318 per week this would be a cost of £1.283m per annum.
- Due to the increasing complexity of children's needs that are going into residential out of authority placements & despite successful negotiations by the Commissioning team to minimise the cost of these placements, the average cost per week of these placements has increased from £3,022 in 2011/12 to £3,318 currently – an increase of 9.8%.
- The average number of placements has also risen since 2011/12 by 14.4 (80.2%) from 18 to 32.4.
- From 1 April 2013 children's remand placements were fully funded by the Local Authority & RMBC was provided with a national grant of £78K in 2013/14 to cover these additional costs. The allocation for 2014/15 has been reduced to £53K. The cost of these placements in 2014/15 so far is £33K. At the end of October there were no remand placements (a reduction of 2 since 31 March 2014).

# Independent Fostering

#### Agencies

- The number of children in Independent Foster Care as at end October 2014 is 109 (an increase of 7 since the end of March 2014 but a reduction of 9 since 31<sup>st</sup> March 2013).
- The average number of placements since 2011/12 has decreased by 16.2 (13.0%).

### In-house Fostering

- The number of children in in-house fostering placements as at end of October 2014 is 170 (an increase of 3 since the end of March 2014).
- The cost of a placement has risen by an average of £37 or 16% since 2011/12.
- The average number of placements during the same period has increased by 15.4 (9.7%)
- The number of looked after children was 402 at end of October, a reduction of 2 since end of August & and increase of 2 since the end of March 2014.

Fostering Services are forecasting an overspend on Fostering allowances (+£121K) & Residence Orders (+£62K) due to having had more children placed than planned during the first 6 months of the year & also due to some placements costing more than the estimated average. This is partially offset by under spends in the Fostering team due to not covering a maternity leave (-£45K) & forecast underspends on Fostering equipment (-£20K) & Family Together packages (-£15K).

Adoption Services are projecting over spends on Special Guardianship Orders (+£11K). These are offset with under spends on allowances (-£14k) due a reduction in the number of carers, Inter Agency costs (-£123K) due to more adoptions being completed in house & small underspends on the LAAC and Adoption Teams (-£1K).

Other forecast overspends within this area are (+£160K) in the LAC Service due to Agency costs (£92K) & posts over establishment (£40K) & a complex Care package (£21K), secure transport (+£20k) offset by an under spend on Section 17 and 23 costs (-£13k).

Also, within in-house Residential homes there is a forecast over spend (+£31k) due to Regulation 33 requiring us to use an independent reviewer of our in house provision (+£19k) & forecast over spends on agency, long term sickness cover and a termination payment (+£30k), offset by under spends on staffing at St Edmunds and the cover budget at Woodview (-£18k).

Furthermore there is a Leaving Care overspend (£3k) and LAC Transport is forecasting an underspend of (-£50K).

#### Disability Services (-£18K)

This service is forecasting an under spend due to delays in recruitment for 2 posts within the outreach team (-£26K) offset by an over spend due to increased use of agency staff at Cherry Tree & Liberty residential homes (+£8k).

### 7.1.4 Prevention and Early intervention strategies

These include:

• Increased use of Special Guardianships (85 as at the end of October, an increase of 6 since 31<sup>st</sup> March 2014 & an increase of 17 since March 2013) and Residence Orders (142 as at the end of October, an increase of 11 since 31<sup>st</sup> March 2014 & an increase of 21 since March 2013). There is a continuing push to secure permanency for some children via this route rather than becoming or remaining looked after children. This seeks to reduce the LAC numbers but also provides better outcomes for the children and young people.

- In-house adoption and fostering services are continuing to develop placement resources. The in-house adoption service approved a total of 31 adoptive families in 2013/14, a significant increase on the 18 families approved in 2012/13. The Adoption Service has up to November 24<sup>th</sup> 2014 approved 21 adoptive families since April 1<sup>st</sup> 2014. This is improved performance on 2013/14 when the service had approved 12 adoptive families in the same period. The target for 2014/15 is to recruit 42 adoptive families in total, compared to 31 in total in 2013/14. In addition to the 21 adoptive families approved to date, there are a further 13 families in assessment, which would amount to 34 approved for the year.
- The number of adoptive families coming forward has slowed during October and a number of families have made the decision to go on hold or withdraw having received further information. The targeted recruitment campaign is ongoing. Increased numbers of inhouse adopters will reduce the need to commission inter-agency adoptive placements at a cost of £27,000 per child, we commissioned 29 inter-agency placements in 2013/14 and have set a target to reduce this to just 20 in 2014/15. The service is currently forecasting using at most 20 interagency placements for the year and it is likely to be slightly less.
- The service has set itself a target to provide a total of 5 families for other local authorities in 2014/15 (compared to 2 last year) and has so far provided 4, with a fifth family to be provided in December, and 4 other families who are being considered for children from other authorities. These 5 families' will generate an income so far of £135,000. The additional 4 families would generate an income of £124,000, should these placements proceed. We are on course to meet our start of year target to reduce interagency placements by 9 to at most 20 and to provide at least 5 families to other local authorities. This would be a net reduction in purchased placements of 12. (Last year 29 - 2 = 27 compared to this year 20 - 5 = 15). Buying 12 less interagency placements at a cost of £27,000 each amount to cost avoidance of £324,000 this year compared to last. It is possible that we purchase less than 20 interagency placements and provide more than 5, and if so the cost avoidance will be greater. This has been achieved through increased recruitment of in-house adopters.

• At the end of October 2014, the in-house fostering service was providing more placements than previously for our looked after children (173, an increase of 1 child from the previous month and an increase of 27 from December 2013). The number of foster carers during 2014-15 had dropped from 184 to 180 as a result of a significant number of retirements, but increased due to 3 new approvals in October, thus a current net loss of 1 carer. Our recruitment activity this year is aimed at recruiting carers for more difficult to place children and the service is having some success with that; new carers recruited include 5 for children aged 11 to 18 and 8 for sibling groups. In addition, the service has approved 2 fostering plus carers (including 1 carer for a parent/child placement) and will approve 2 more in November. Work is on-going extending the capacity of existing foster carers through changes of approval categories; as a result, 6 carers have amended their approval category to care for older children, 2 has amended their approval category to care for an additional child, and 3 carers have amended their approval category to care for additional children and to care for children of an older age.

#### 7.1.5 Management Actions

Considerable, concerted proactive management actions to contain and where possible reduce the projected outturn position are continuing – within 2014/15 to date, these actions have helped the service avoid £604K of costs that would otherwise have been incurred:

- Reduction in placement costs of £518K through renegotiating contracts with external providers;
- The Fostering Framework has achieved £42K of reductions on standard fostering placements
- The Block contract has achieved £44K savings on complex fostering placements
- The continued effectiveness of the multi-agency support panel from which through efficient multi agency management actions and decision making, continues to avoid costs wherever possible.
- CYPS have engaged a company called Valuing Care who will be contacting a range of providers of Social Care & SEN Residential placements to carry out cost book analysis to compare against their extensive database to identify potential areas for cost renegotiations & ongoing savings. This should show savings in 2014/15.

The Directorate are in the process of changing the function of one of its Residential Children's homes to better meet the needs of Rotherham young people. This will be completed & the home registered with OfSTED for a changed function by April 2015.

## 7.1.6 Agency Costs

Total expenditure on Agency staff for Children and Young People's Services for the 7 month period ending 31<sup>st</sup> October 2014 was £591K. This compares with an actual cost of £473K for the same period last year.

Agency costs for the first quarter of this financial year have been incurred as a result of the need to cover the Interim Director of Safeguarding, Children & Families; vacant social worker and social work posts where staff are on long term sick or on maternity leave; and vacancies, sickness and maternity leave in residential care and the employment of an interim Service Manager to oversee improvement in the LAC service following an independent review of service quality. It is vital to ensure that social work posts are filled in order to deliver statutory services to children, young people and their families, and keep caseloads within acceptable limits to comply with inspection requirements. All previously supernumerary posts within the service have been appointed to.

In Q2, there are two consultants working within the service with a remit to review and improve critical areas of social work provision. Both assignments are time limited and have been agreed by the Director Safeguarding, Children & Families and the Chief Executive.

Following the publication of the Jay Inquiry, the demand on social care has increased, and in preparation for OfSTED, additional agency staff have been utilised to strengthen staffing resources proportionate to this demand. As part of their inspection activity, OfSTED will form a judgement on whether social worker caseloads are too high. The inspection report will be published by 21<sup>st</sup> November, at which point the Service may need to review current workload capacity and workforce resource, dependent on the recommendations put forward by HMI.

21 Newly Qualified Social Workers have joined the service in the past 4 months and have commenced their Assessed and Supported Year in Employment (ASYE). This is reflective of around 20% of the total workforce. 17 social workers completed the ASYE in 13/14. Recruitment continues to take place on a monthly basis, and in October the service is recruiting to the LAC Team and the Children's Disability Team.

The DfE released findings from their first round of social work workforce data which all LAs are required to report on: Rotherham ranks amongst the lowest Authorities for use of agency and turnover, when benchmarked against stat neighbours.

# 7.1.7 Non contractual Overtime

Actual expenditure to the end of October 2014 on non-contractual overtime for Children and Young People's Services (excluding schools) is £52K which is mainly in Residential units, compared with expenditure of £70K for the same period last year.

OfSTED requirements are that, if possible, agency staff are not used to cover vacancies, hence the reliance on overtime in the short term pending recruited staff taking up position. All in-house residential units have a 'cover' budget to use for this purpose.

## 7.1.8 Consultancy Costs

Total expenditure on consultancy costs to the end of October 2014 is £139K compared to £104K for the same 7 month period last year.

The actual costs of agency, non contractual overtime & consultancy are included within the financial forecasts.

#### 8. Finance

Finance details are included in section 7 above.

#### 9. Risks and Uncertainties

Principal risks and uncertainties relate to the 'needs led' nature of budgets for looked after children.

The recruitment of in house foster and adoptive carers remains a challenge and we must always ensure a high quality of placements.

Our decisions to place children with independent fostering agencies and in residential out of authority establishments will always be in the context of the best interests of our children. The budget need can only be an estimate given its volatile nature. For example, one out of authority residential placement for a child with very complex needs can now cost up to £364,000 per annum.

### 10. Policy and Performance Agenda Implications

The delivery of the Council's Revenue Budget within the limits determined by Council in March 2014 is vital in achieving the objectives of the Council's Policy agenda. Financial performance is a key element within the assessment of the council's overall performance.

The expenditure in the Children and Young People's Service continues to be mitigated by constantly reviewing budgets and the continuation of a moratorium on spending within the Directorate.

### 11. Background Papers and Consultation

 Report to Cabinet on 5 March 2014 – Proposed Revenue Budget and Council Tax for 2014/15.

This report has been discussed with the Strategic Director of Children and Young People's Service and the Director of Finance.

**Contact Name:** Joanne Robertson, Financial Services - Finance Manager (Children and Young People's Services), *ext: 22041, email:* ioanne.robertson@rotherham.gov.uk